

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,309	02/21/2002	Gary John Corey	9106	
75	90 08/27/2003			
Mr. Gary John Corey 21445 Bundy Canyon Road			EXAMINER	
Wildomar, CA			PALADINI, ALB	ERT WILLIAM
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	6
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/079,309	COREY, GARY JOHN			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
<i>/</i> *	Albert W Paladini	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	o ars on the cov r sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper re ent which places the appli	cation in		
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A-event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amou ed statutory period for reply original	unt of the fee. The appropriate ex lly set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected clair	ms.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed So	DLELY to issues which we	ere newly		
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2125 Centinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/441,014

•

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims do not describe elements operating together to perform a function. They name a single element, named "A technology lement followed by a usir entering information into the element. The claim indis with a statement that a "controller" performs some functions. There is not description of the interaction of elements to perform a function...